

Meson-Nucleon Coupling from AdS/QCD

Nobuhito Maru^{*} and Motoi Tachibana[†]

^{}Department of Physics, Chuo University, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan*

[†]Department of Physics, Saga University, Saga 840-8502, Japan

Abstract

In this manuscript, a unified approach to hadron physics from holographic point of view is described. After introduction of a general setup for meson-nucleon system based on the bottom-up approach of QCD (AdS/QCD), as an illustration, we specifically examine meson-nucleon couplings. This is an example of the notion we call “holographic unification” in hadron physics.

^{*}maru@phys.chuo-u.ac.jp

[†]motoi@cc.saga-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

Gauge/string duality conjectured by Maldacena [1] is one of the greatest developments in particle physics during the last decade. It has not been proven mathematically yet, nevertheless has gained enough credit through tons of nontrivial consistency checks [2].

The original version of the duality called AdS/CFT correspondence proposed in [1] is connecting type IIB supergravity theory compactified on 5 dimensional Anti-de Sitter space (AdS_5) and 5 dimensional sphere (S^5) to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric (SUSY) Yang-Mills theory in 4 dimensions. Since the beta function of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY Yang-Mills is vanishing [3], it is described by conformal field theory (CFT). From this reason, the correspondence is called AdS/CFT. After Maldacena's work, the original version of the AdS/CFT duality has been extended to less supersymmetric cases and even to non-supersymmetric ones. Besides the idea of the AdS/CFT duality is deeply connected to that of "holography", which was proposed by several people [4].

The most remarkable property of the conjecture is that a strongly-coupled quantum field theory can be described by a weakly-coupled classical supergravity and vice versa. This observation has immediately led many people to study nonperturbative properties of field theories using supergravity descriptions. In nature, the most interesting field theory whose nonperturbative dynamics is to be understood is Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), i.e., dynamics of quarks and gluons. It is empirically known in QCD that quarks, gluons and other color degrees of freedom are confined into hadrons. Also chiral symmetry of QCD with massless quarks is spontaneously broken in vacuum and pions are the Nambu-Goldstone (NG) bosons associated with it. Moreover at very high temperature/density, QCD is expected to demonstrate some phase transitions into quark-gluon plasma (QGP) [5] and color superconducting (CSC) phases [6]. These deconfined phases are intimately connected to physics of the early universe, heavy ion collisions and compact stars. It is currently a major interest in QCD to comprehend space-time evolution of hadronic systems in those phases.

Shortly after Maldacena's work, many people including himself have tried to apply the idea of AdS/CFT duality to QCD(-like) theories. For instance, Wilson loop operator, which is the order parameter of confinement/deconfinement transition, in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SUSY Yang-Mills was computed [7] and a nontrivial dependence on the gauge coupling was found there. Besides the glueball mass spectra in QCD without matter were calculated via AdS/CFT and compared with the results from Lattice QCD [8].

Furthermore, as a crucial step toward more realistic QCD, including quark degrees of freedom has been done by Karch and Katz [9]. Shortly afterwards, the idea of [9] has been applied to problems, such as spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry (U(1) part), screening effect of quark-antiquark potential via pair creation and meson mass spectra

in different brane setups [10]. Among them, the most successful one so far is “Sakai-Sugimoto model” [11]. In this model, QCD is realized by incorporating parallel $D8-\overline{D8}$ branes perpendicular to $D4$ brane system[‡]. Then one can obtain quarks with different chirality (left- and right-handed) from open string excitations stretching between $D4$ and $D8(\overline{D8})$ branes, while gluons originate from $D4$ - $D4$ strings. In the dual gravity picture, $D4$ branes are replaced to a certain supergravity solution while $D8$ and $\overline{D8}$ branes have to be connected to each other (called probe approximation). This is the realization of chiral symmetry breaking in geometric manner. Then the excitations from open strings stretching $D8$ branes are interpreted as hadrons in QCD, such as pions, vector and axial-vector mesons. Then vector mesons are the gauge bosons associated with flavor symmetry and its spontaneous breaking provides vector meson masses (5 dimensional Higgs mechanism). The model reproduces the experimental data (masses, decay constants and various relations between physical parameters) much better than expected. In addition, baryons can be treated as topological excitations in this model [13].

On the other hand, Sakai-Sugimoto model has surprising similarity with deconstruction approach to QCD, proposed by Son and Stephanov [14]. In this approach, one starts from a set of 4 dimensional gauge theories with bifundamental matter fields and take a “continuum limit” according to a certain prescription. Then one obtains 5 dimensional gauge theory coupled to scalar field (dilaton) in some curved background. This is called “deconstruction of QCD”. In spite that the starting point is very different, the resultant effective action gained from the procedure is essentially the same as that in Sakai-Sugimoto model. The difference is that in the former the background metric is not known, but in the latter it can be determined by solving the Einstein’s equation. In the deconstruction model, both vector and axial-vector mesons appear as gauge bosons associated with flavor symmetry. This is nothing but an extension of the idea of hidden local symmetry [15] into an infinitely many vector bosons.

In such a situation, an interesting 5 dimensional model motivated by AdS/CFT correspondence was proposed by Erlich et al. [16] and Da Rold-Pomarol [17]. According to AdS/CFT dictionary, there is a one-to-one correspondence between 4 dimensional operators in field theory side and 5 dimensional bulk fields in gravity theory side [18]. Utilizing this property, one can start from 4 dimensional QCD and guess its 5 dimensional holographic dual [19]. This approach is called “AdS/QCD” or the bottom-up approach. Although there are an infinitely many operators in QCD, as far as dynamics of chiral symmetry is concerned, it is enough to take into account several ones, such as left- and right-handed flavor vector currents and chiral condensate. Vector current couples to a bulk vector field at the boundary while chiral condensate a bulk

[‡]The $D4$ brane system itself has been originally introduced by Witten [12]

scalar field. Then the resultant 5 dimensional action involves vector and axial-vector mesons (ρ, a_1 etc) and scalar and pseudo-scalar mesons (π, σ etc). There are several parameters in the model so that one can make some predictions after fixing those parameters from the experimental data.

After the works by [16] and [17], lots of applications and improvements of the original model have been accomplished. For instance, deformation of bulk geometry [20] as well as bulk Lagrangian [21], incorporating other degrees of freedom into the original model such as scalar mesons [22], tensor mesons [23], glueballs [24], baryons [25] and other exotics [26], study of the Regge trajectories [27], evaluation of inter-quark potential [28], extension to finite temperature/density [29], form factors [30], phenomenological studies [31] and other general issues [32].

The purpose of this manuscript is as follows: At first, we would like to review the bottom-up approach (AdS/QCD) in detail with a simple setup involving bulk meson and nucleon fields. Then we propose a scenario of unification of hadron physics in higher dimensional space-time. There all mesons and baryons are "unified" from holographic point of view and finally it might be possible to find out "generalized" low-energy theorems, where not only pions but also other vector and scalar mesons are treated collectively at low energies. Motivated by the scenario, we specifically try to investigate general properties of meson-nucleon couplings and see some useful relations. At the end, we summarize and give some forthcoming perspectives.

2 Review of bottom-up approach (AdS/QCD)

2.1 An example of 5D holographic model of QCD

As has been denoted in the previous section, according to field-operator correspondence, there is one-to-one map between 4 dimensional operators in field theory living on the boundary and 5 dimensional bulk fields in gravity side. In particular, a conserved current in 4 dimension is coupled to a massless gauge boson on the boundary. Then the profile of the field can be determined through the classical equation of motion in the bulk with some appropriate boundary conditions.

In the bottom-up approach of holographic QCD, the above spirit is utilized by beginning from 4 dimensional QCD and guessing its 5 dimensional holographic dual [19]. In QCD, there is an infinitely many operators, but as far as chiral dynamics is concerned, we can restrict ourselves to a certain set of operators, which are the left- and right- conserved chiral current $J_{L,R}^{\mu a} \equiv \bar{q}_{L,R} \gamma^\mu t^a q_{L,R}$, where t^a 's are $SU(N_f)$ flavor generators and chiral condensate $\bar{q}_R^\alpha q_L^\beta$, where α and β are $SU(N_f)$ flavor indices in fundamental representation. With respect to those operators, one is able to assign 5 dimensional bulk fields. This is summarized in Table below (Fig.1).

4D: $\mathcal{O}(x)$	5D: $\phi(x, z)$	p	Δ	$(M_5)^2$
$\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu t^a q_L$	$A_{L\mu}^a$	1	3	0
$\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu t^a q_R$	$A_{L\mu}^a$	1	3	0
$\bar{q}_R^\alpha q_L^\beta$	$(2/z)X^{\alpha\beta}$	0	3	-3

Fig.1 Table of AdS/QCD (meson part) [16, 17]

The system of our interest consists of $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R$ gauged flavor theory with a bulk scalar field X , which belongs to bifundamental representation under the gauge groups. The action we consider here as the meson sector is given by

$$S = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \text{Tr} \left[|DX|^2 - M_5^2 |X|^2 - \frac{1}{2g_5^2} (F_L^2 + F_R^2) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where the background metric is taken as 5 dimensional Anti-de Sitter space (AdS_5)[§]:

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - dz^2) \quad \varepsilon \leq z \leq z_m \quad (2.2)$$

Here μ, ν runs from 0 to 3 and ε and z_m are the inverse of UV and IR cutoff scales, respectively.

Furthermore, a covariant derivative and a 5 dimensional bulk scalar mass are defined through the dictionary of AdS/CFT correspondence as follows:

$$D_M X = \partial_M X - i A_M^L X + i X A_M^R, \quad (2.3)$$

$$M_5^2 = \Delta(\Delta - 4) = -3. \quad (2.4)$$

Δ denotes a conformal dimension of the operator in 4 dimension as seen in Fig. 1. Then the classical equation of motion for X is written as

$$\left[-\frac{1}{z^3} \partial_\mu \partial^\mu + \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z^3} \partial_z \right) - \frac{M_5^2}{z^5} \right] X = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

which has a solution as follows:

$$X(z) = \frac{1}{2} (Mz + \Sigma z^3), \quad (2.6)$$

where two integration constants, M and Σ , are determined by the boundary conditions at $z = \varepsilon$ and $z = z_m$. Here we have assumed that the classical solution of X depends only on the fifth coordinate z and used the relation $M_5^2 = -3$ ($\Delta = 3$) which is valid for scalar field. The physical meanings of M and Σ are the bare quark mass (i.e., explicit breaking of chiral symmetry) and the chiral condensate (spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking). Below we take $M = m_q 1_{N_f \times N_f}$ and $\Sigma = \sigma 1_{N_f \times N_f}$ for simplicity.

[§]This is not a unique choice, but just for brevity. Indeed various possibilities of deformed bulk from AdS_5 have been proposed. For instance, see [20].

2.2 Gauge boson sector

We next turn to the vector boson sector. For later use, it is convenient to rewrite the gauge bosons into the following base:

$$V_M = \frac{1}{2}(A_M^L + A_M^R), \quad A_M = \frac{1}{2}(A_M^L - A_M^R). \quad (2.7)$$

To study the KK spectrum and the corresponding mode functions of the vector and axial vector mesons, it is enough to extract the quadratic terms in the action:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{quad}} &= \int d^5x \frac{1}{z^5} \left[-\frac{1}{2g_5^2} \text{Tr}(\partial_M A_N^L - \partial_N A_M^L)^2 + (L \leftrightarrow R) \right] \\ &= \int d^5x \left(-\frac{1}{4g_5^2 z} \right) [\partial_\mu V_\nu \partial^\mu V^\nu - \partial_\mu V_\nu \partial^\nu V^\mu + \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu \\ &\quad - (\partial_\mu V_z - \partial_z V_\mu)^2 - (\partial_\mu A_z - \partial_z A_\mu)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

2.2.1 Vector meson part

Let us first focus on the vector gauge boson sector. For that purpose, we introduce the gauge-fixing term which cancels the mixing term proportional to $V_\mu V_5$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gf}}^V = -\frac{1}{2\xi_V g_5^2 z} \left[\partial_\mu V^\mu - \xi_V z \partial_z \left(\frac{V_z}{z} \right) \right]^2 \quad (2.9)$$

where ξ_V is a gauge fixing parameter. Then we have the vector part of Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_V &= -\frac{1}{4g_5^2 z} \left[\partial_\mu V_\nu \partial^\mu V^\nu - \partial_\mu V_\nu \partial^\nu V^\mu - (\partial_\mu V_z - \partial_z V_\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{\xi_V} \left(\partial_\mu V^\mu - \xi_V z \partial_z \left(\frac{V_z}{z} \right) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4g_5^2 z} \left[\partial_\mu V_\nu \partial^\mu V^\nu - \partial_\mu V_\nu \partial^\nu V^\mu - (\partial_\mu V_z)^2 - (\partial_z V_\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{\xi_V} (\partial_\mu V^\mu)^2 + \xi_V z^2 \left(\partial_z \left(\frac{V_z}{z} \right) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4g_5^2 z} V_\mu \left[-\partial^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\mu \partial^\nu + z \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \partial_z \eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{\xi_V} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \right] V_\nu \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where the integration by parts are performed to arrive at the last expression. As a result, the boundary (surface) term should be vanished

$$[V_\nu \partial_z V^\nu]_\epsilon^{z_m} = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Note also that V_z decouples in a unitary gauge $\xi_V \rightarrow \infty$ because the mass of V_z originated from the gauge-fixing term diverges (see, the last term in the second equation in (2.10)),

$$m_{V_z}^2 \propto \xi_V z^2 \left(\partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \right)^2 \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.12)$$

The equation of motion for V_μ in the unitary gauge can be easily read as

$$\left[-\partial^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\mu \partial^\nu + \eta^{\mu\nu} z \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \partial_z \right] V_\nu = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Expanding in mode as $V_\nu(x, z) = \sum_n V_n^\nu(x) f_n^V(z)$ gives us the mode equation

$$\left[m_n^2 + z \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \partial_z \right] f_n^V(z) = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

where m_n denotes a four dimensional KK mass and a condition $\partial^\mu V_\mu = 0$ is used since we focus on the transverse part of the gauge field.

One obtains the mode function $f_n^V(z)$ as

$$f_n^V(z) = z [c_1 J_1(m_n z) + c_2 Y_1(m_n z)], \quad (2.15)$$

where $c_{1,2}$ are integration constants determined by the boundary conditions $f_n^V(\varepsilon) = \partial_z f_n^V(z_m) = 0$. In more explicit form,

$$0 = \varepsilon [c_1 J_1(m_n \varepsilon) + c_2 Y_1(m_n \varepsilon)], \quad (2.16)$$

$$0 = c_1 J_1(m_n z_m) + c_2 Y_1(m_n z_m) + z_m [c_1 J_1'(m_n z_m) + c_2 Y_1'(m_n z_m)]. \quad (2.17)$$

Here J_1 and Y_1 are the Bessel functions. These conditions can be converted to a condition for determining KK mass spectrum by eliminating c_2 .

$$-\frac{1}{z_m} = \frac{J_1'(m_n z_m) Y_1(m_n \varepsilon) - J_1(m_n \varepsilon) Y_1'(m_n z_m)}{J_1(m_n z_m) Y_1(m_n \varepsilon) - J_1(m_n \varepsilon) Y_1(m_n z_m)}. \quad (2.18)$$

To solve this equation, we make use of the following asymptotic forms of Bessel functions:

$$J_1(m_n \varepsilon) \simeq \frac{m_n \varepsilon}{2\Gamma(2)}, \quad Y_1(m_n \varepsilon) \simeq -\frac{2}{\pi m_n \varepsilon} \quad (2.19)$$

for $m_n \varepsilon \ll 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(m_n z_m) &\simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi m_n z_m}} \cos\left(m_n z_m - \frac{3}{4}\pi\right), \quad J_1'(m_n z_m) \simeq -\sqrt{\frac{2m_n}{\pi z_m}} \sin\left(m_n z_m - \frac{3}{4}\pi\right), \\ Y_1(m_n z_m) &\simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi m_n z_m}} \sin\left(m_n z_m - \frac{3}{4}\pi\right), \quad Y_1'(m_n z_m) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2m_n}{\pi z_m}} \cos\left(m_n z_m - \frac{3}{4}\pi\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

for $m_n z_m \gg 1$. Plugging these approximated expressions into (2.18) leads to

$$-\frac{1}{z_m} \simeq -m_n \tan\left(m_n z_m - \frac{3}{4}\pi\right). \quad (2.21)$$

The n -th KK mass is finally found as

$$m_n \simeq \left(n - \frac{1}{4}\right) \pi z_m^{-1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (2.22)$$

As for the first excited state ($n = 1$), namely ρ meson, one obtains the mass as [16, 17]

$$m_\rho \simeq \frac{3}{4} \pi z_m^{-1} \simeq 2.4 z_m^{-1}. \quad (2.23)$$

On the other hand, ρ meson mode function is given by

$$f^\rho(z) = \frac{z J_1(m_\rho z)}{\sqrt{\int_\varepsilon^{z_m} dz z [J_1(m_\rho z)]^2}}. \quad (2.24)$$

2.2.2 Axial vector meson part

Next let us turn to the axial vector sector. Since the longitudinal part of the axial vector meson couples to the bulk scalar field X , one has to take into account the quadratic part of Lagrangian involving both of them:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{A+X} = & -\frac{1}{4g_5^2 z} \left[\partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu - (\partial_\mu A_z - \partial_z A_\mu)^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{v^2}{z^3} \left[(\partial_\mu P - A_\mu)^2 - (\partial_z P - A_z)^2 \right],\end{aligned}\quad (2.25)$$

where a pseudoscalar field P is a phase of the bulk scalar field X defined as $X(x, z) = v(z)e^{iP}$ and $v(z) = \frac{1}{2}(m_q z + \sigma z^3)$.

One also needs to add the gauge-fixing term such as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gf}}^A = -\frac{1}{2\xi_A g_5^2 z} \left[\partial^\mu A_\mu - \xi_A z \partial_z \left(\frac{A_z}{z} \right) + 2\sqrt{2} g_5^2 \frac{\xi_A}{z^2} v^2 P \right]^2. \quad (2.26)$$

Thus, the resultant Lagrangian (quadratic part only) in a unitary gauge is given as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{axial}} = -\frac{1}{4g_5^2 z} A_\mu^a \left[-g^{\mu\nu} \partial^2 + \partial^\mu \partial^\nu + g^{\mu\nu} z \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \partial_z \right] A_\nu^a + \frac{v^2}{2z^3} (\partial_\mu P^a - A_\mu^a)^2 \quad (2.27)$$

with vanishing boundary (surface) terms

$$[A_\mu \partial_z A^\mu]_\varepsilon^{z_m} = 0. \quad (2.28)$$

The equation of motion is easily derived as

$$\left[-\frac{m_n^2}{z} - \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \partial_z + \frac{2g_5^2 v^2}{z^3} \right] f_n^A = 0 \quad (2.29)$$

and the boundary conditions are given by

$$f_n^A(0) = \partial_z f_n^A(z_m) = 0. \quad (2.30)$$

Note here that unlike the vector meson case, the axial meson sector depends on $v(z)$, i.e., the vacuum structure. Plugging an explicit expression of $v(z)$ leads to

$$\left[-\frac{m_n^2}{z} - \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \partial_z + 2g_5^2 \left(\frac{M}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2} z^2 \right)^2 \right] f_n^A = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

Obviously, the equation of motion for the axial vector has a z -dependent mass term and cannot be solved analytically. As an approximation, the bulk mass is supposed to be the brane localized mass at QCD brane ($z = z_m$). This is because $v(z)$ is localized towards the brane at $z = z_m$. In this approximation, the equation of motion in the

bulk is the same as that of vector meson, but the boundary condition at $z = z_m$ is modified as follows.

$$0 = \left(\partial_z + 2g_5^2 \frac{v^2}{z^2} \right) f_n^A(z) \Big|_{z=z_m}. \quad (2.32)$$

We have already known a general solution in the bulk:

$$f_n^A(z) = c_1 z \left[J_1(m_n z) - \frac{J_1(m_n \varepsilon)}{Y_1(m_n \varepsilon)} Y_1(m_n z) \right], \quad (2.33)$$

where the boundary condition at $z = \varepsilon$ is imposed.

By imposing the modified boundary condition (2.32) at $z = z_m$, we end up with finding the condition to determine the KK mass spectrum:

$$-\frac{1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} g_5^2 z_m^5}{z_m} = \frac{J_1'(m_n z_m) Y_1(m_n \varepsilon) - J_1(m_n \varepsilon) Y_1'(m_n z_m)}{J_1(m_n z_m) Y_1(m_n \varepsilon) - J_1(m_n \varepsilon) Y_1(m_n z_m)}. \quad (2.34)$$

Likewise in the vector meson case, the right hand side of the condition can be approximated and we obtain the following result:

$$\tan \left(m_n z_m - \frac{3}{4} \pi \right) \simeq \frac{1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} g_5^2 z_m^5}{m_n z_m} \simeq \frac{g_5^2 \sigma^2}{2m_n} z_m^4 \quad (2.35)$$

where $z_m \gg 1$ is taken in the final approximation.

Once if we make use of the mass of the first excited axial vector meson, a_1 ,

$$m_{a_1} z_m \simeq 1230 \text{ MeV} \times \frac{2.4}{770 \text{ MeV}}, \quad (2.36)$$

the value of the chiral condensate can be extracted from

$$(g_5 \sigma)^2 \simeq \frac{2m_{a_1}}{z_m^4} \tan \left(m_{a_1} z_m - \frac{3}{4} \pi \right), \quad (2.37)$$

which leads to

$$(g_5 \sigma)^{2/5} \simeq 775 \text{ MeV}. \quad (2.38)$$

The normalized wave function of a_1 is given by

$$f_1^A(z) = \frac{z J_1(m_{a_1} z)}{\sqrt{\int_{\varepsilon}^{z_m} dz z [J_1(m_{a_1} z)]^2}}. \quad (2.39)$$

2.3 Baryon sector with chiral symmetry breaking

Now we turn to the baryon sector. Analysis of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ baryon in the context of holographic QCD has already been done, for instance, in [33]. Here we follow their work

and try to derive the meson coupling to nucleon. As we will show in the next section, this will give us an explicit example of a unified approach from holographic viewpoint.

Let us review the baryon sector in AdS/QCD [33]. At first, the 5 dimensional bulk Lagrangian for baryon is provided as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Baryon}} = \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{i}{2} \bar{N}_1 e_A^M \Gamma^A \nabla_M N_1 - \frac{i}{2} (\nabla_M^\dagger \bar{N}_1) e_A^M \Gamma^A N_1 - m_5 \bar{N}_1 N_1 \right], \quad (2.40)$$

where Γ^A ($A = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5$) are 5D Dirac matrices, N_1 is a Dirac fermion field transforming as $(N_f, 1)$ under the gauge group $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R$, and the fünfvein is defined through $e_M^A = \frac{1}{z} \eta_M^A$ (M is a space-time coordinate index and A is a local Lorentz coordinate one). The covariant derivatives with respect to the general coordinate transformation and the gauge transformation are given by

$$\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \frac{i}{4} \omega_\mu^{AB} \Gamma_{AB} - i A_\mu^L = \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2z} \Gamma_z \Gamma_\mu - i A_\mu^L, \quad (2.41)$$

$$\nabla_z = \partial_z - i A_z^L \quad (2.42)$$

where the nonvanishing spin connections are $\omega_M^{zA} = \frac{1}{z} \delta_M^A$. Note here that the bulk mass m_5 is related to the scaling dimension Δ of a corresponding boundary operator:

$$m_5^2 = (\Delta - 2)^2. \quad (2.43)$$

It should be emphasized that the above calculations do not care about effects of chiral symmetry breaking. Once taking into account the effects, Yukawa interaction in the bulk should be introduced as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = -g_Y \bar{N}_1 X N_1 - g_Y \bar{N}_2 X^\dagger N_2. \quad (2.44)$$

In this case, we should solve the following mode equations,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_z - \frac{\Delta}{z} & -\frac{g_Y v(z)}{z} \\ -\frac{g_Y v(z)}{z} & \partial_z - \frac{4-\Delta}{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{1L} \\ f_{2L} \end{pmatrix} = -m_n \begin{pmatrix} f_{1R} \\ f_{2R} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.45)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_z - \frac{4-\Delta}{z} & \frac{g_Y v(z)}{z} \\ \frac{g_Y v(z)}{z} & \partial_z - \frac{\Delta}{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{1R} \\ f_{2R} \end{pmatrix} = m_n \begin{pmatrix} f_{1L} \\ f_{2L} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.46)$$

where f_{1L} is a wavefunction for N_1 satisfying the chirality condition $i\Gamma^z N_{1L} = +N_{1L}$ and similarly for others (f_{1R}, f_{2L} and f_{2R}). m_n represents the KK masses for baryons.

Here it might be instructive to show how the solution looks like even in the case of no chiral symmetry breaking. In this case ($g_Y \rightarrow 0$), f_1 and f_2 sectors are completely decoupled to each other, then we end up with the following set of equations for f_1 sector:

$$\left[\partial_z^2 - \frac{4}{z} \partial_z + \frac{6 + m_5 - m_5^2}{z^2} \right] f_{1L}^n(z) = -m_n^2 f_{1L}^n(z), \quad (2.47)$$

$$\left[\partial_z^2 - \frac{4}{z} \partial_z + \frac{6 - m_5 - m_5^2}{z^2} \right] f_{1R}^n(z) = -m_n^2 f_{1R}^n(z). \quad (2.48)$$

The solution is obtained as

$$f_{1L(1R)}^n(z) = z^{5/2} \left[c_1 J_{|m_5 \mp \frac{1}{2}|}(m_n z) + c_2 Y_{|m_5 \mp \frac{1}{2}|}(m_n z) \right] \quad (2.49)$$

where the right-handed mode function is obtained by replacing $m_5 \leftrightarrow -m_5$ in the left-handed mode function (the upper(lower) sign corresponds to the left(right)-handed mode).

In our case, the scaling dimension of the baryon operator is $\Delta = \frac{9}{2}$, which implies that the bulk mass is fixed to be $m_5 = \pm \frac{5}{2}$. Following [33], the sign of the bulk mass is chosen such that the left(right)-handed zero mode comes from $N_1(N_2)$. We see from (2.43) with $\Delta = \frac{9}{2}$ that $m_5 = \frac{5}{2}(-\frac{5}{2})$ for $N_1(N_2)$. Therefore, the mode functions are found as

$$f_{1L}^n(z) = z^{5/2} [c_{1L} J_2(m_n z) + c_{2L} Y_2(m_n z)], \quad (2.50)$$

$$f_{1R}^n(z) = z^{5/2} [c_{1R} J_3(m_n z) + c_{2R} Y_3(m_n z)]. \quad (2.51)$$

The boundary condition $f_{1L}^n(0) = 0$ tells us

$$c_{2L}^n = -\frac{J_2(m_n \varepsilon)}{Y_2(m_n \varepsilon)} c_{1L}^n = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{m_n \varepsilon}{2} \right)^4 c_{1L}^n \rightarrow 0 \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0) \quad (2.52)$$

where the asymptotic form of Bessel function are used

$$J_2(m_n \varepsilon) \simeq \frac{1}{\Gamma(3)} \left(\frac{m_n \varepsilon}{2} \right)^2, \quad Y_2(m_n \varepsilon) \simeq -\frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{m_n \varepsilon} \right)^2. \quad (2.53)$$

Thus, we get

$$f_{1L}^n(z) = c_{1L}^n z^{5/2} J_2(m_n z). \quad (2.54)$$

The right-handed mode function can be obtained from (2.46)

$$f_{1R}^n(z) = -\frac{1}{m_n} \left(\partial_5 - \frac{2 + \frac{5}{2}}{z} \right) (c_{1L}^n z^{5/2} J_2(m_n z)) = c_{1L}^n z^{5/2} J_3(m_n z). \quad (2.55)$$

Another boundary condition $f_{1R}^n(z_m) = 0$ leads to the condition for determining the KK mass spectrum,

$$J_3(m_n z_m) = 0. \quad (2.56)$$

Similar analysis can be also applied to N_2 by replacing $m_5 = -5/2$ and $L \leftrightarrow R$. The boundary condition $f_{1R}^n(0) = 0$ says

$$c_{2R}^n = -\frac{J_3(m_n \varepsilon)}{Y_3(m_n \varepsilon)} c_{1R}^n = -\frac{\pi}{\Gamma(4)} \left(\frac{m_n \varepsilon}{2} \right)^6 c_{1R}^n \rightarrow 0 \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0). \quad (2.57)$$

Thus, we find

$$f_{2R}^n(z) = c_{1R}^n z^{5/2} J_2(m_n z). \quad (2.58)$$

The left-handed mode function is also obtained as

$$f_{2L}^n(z) = \frac{1}{m_n} \left(\partial_5 - \frac{2 + \frac{5}{2}}{z} \right) (c_{1R}^n z^{5/2} J_2(m_n z)) = -c_{1R}^n z^{5/2} J_3(m_n z). \quad (2.59)$$

The KK mass spectrum is obtained from another boundary condition $f_{2L}^n(z) = 0$,

$$J_3(m_n z_m) = 0. \quad (2.60)$$

In summary, we have obtained the following wave functions and the KK mass spectrum of the baryon.

$$f_{1L}^n(z) = c_1^n z^{5/2} J_2(m_n z), \quad f_{1R}^n(z) = c_1^n z^{5/2} J_3(m_n z), \quad (2.61)$$

$$f_{2L}^n(z) = -c_2^n z^{5/2} J_3(m_n z), \quad f_{2R}^n(z) = c_2^n z^{5/2} J_2(m_n z), \quad (2.62)$$

and

$$J_3(m_n z_m) \propto \cos\left(m_n z_m - \frac{7}{4}\pi\right) = 0 \rightarrow m_n \simeq \left(n - \frac{3}{4}\right) \pi z_m^{-1}. \quad (2.63)$$

The normalization constants $c_{1,2}$ are fixed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{z_m} dz z |J_3(m_n z)|^2 &= \left[\frac{z^2}{2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{9}{(m_n z)^2}\right) J_3(m_n z)^2 + \frac{(J_3'(m_n z))^2}{(J_2(m_n z) - \frac{3}{m_n z} J_3(m_n z))^2} \right\} \right]_0^{z_m} \\ &= \frac{z_m^2}{2} J_2^2(m_n z_m), \end{aligned} \quad (2.64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{z_m} dz z |J_2(m_n z)|^2 &= \left[\frac{z^2}{2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{4}{(m_n z)^2}\right) J_2(m_n z)^2 + \frac{(J_2'(m_n z))^2}{(-J_3(m_n z) + \frac{2}{m_n z} J_2(m_n z))^2} \right\} \right]_0^{z_m} \\ &= \frac{z_m^2}{2} J_2^2(m_n z_m) \end{aligned} \quad (2.65)$$

where we note $J_{2,3}(0) = J_3(m_n z_m) = 0$. Thus, the normalization constant is found as

$$|c_{1,2}| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{z_m J_2(m_n z_m)}. \quad (2.66)$$

In the case with chiral symmetry breaking, N_1 and N_2 are coupled with each other. Then one cannot solve the equations of motion analytically. We have to perform numerical analysis.

3 Toward a unified description of hadron physics from holographic QCD

3.1 “Holographic unification” of hadrons

As we have already seen in the previous sections, the idea of gauge/string duality, where one of the concrete realizations is the AdS/CFT correspondence, naturally leads us to the conjectured scenario of an unified description of hadrons in holographic way. According to the scenario, all the mesons and baryons (and even some other exotics) are “unified” in 5 dimensional curved space-time. So that various physical quantities in 4 dimensions, which seem to be different mutually, might be understood collectively. We shall call this “holographic unification” of hadrons. It means not only the unification of matter in the sense of Kaluza-Klein, but also the unification of their physical properties such as couplings, low-energy behaviors and so on.

As such an example, we consider below the meson-nucleon couplings from unified point of view.

3.2 Meson-nucleon couplings from unified point of view

The basic spirit of AdS/QCD is that one writes down all the possible terms allowed by symmetries in the bulk and evaluates different physical quantities defined on the boundary. Note here that since we are dealing with higher dimensional field theory, renormalizability cannot be a guiding principle any more.

Now let us consider the meson-nucleon couplings based on the system argued in the previous section. First, one starts with the pion-nucleon coupling. In terms of holographic QCD, it is derived from Yukawa coupling (2.44) as well as the 5th component of the covariant derivative (2.40):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\pi NN} = & \int_0^{z_m} dz \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{i}{2} \bar{N}_1 \Gamma^5 (-i A_z^L) N_1 - \frac{i}{2} (i A_z^L \bar{N}_1) \Gamma^z N_1 + (L \leftrightarrow R, 1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \\ & + \int_0^{z_m} dz \sqrt{-g} \left[-g_Y \bar{N}_1 X N_1 - g_Y \bar{N}_2 X^\dagger N_2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

From this, one can read the pion-nucleon coupling as [33]

$$g_{\pi N^l N^l} = \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{1}{z^4} \left[f^\pi (f_{1L}^{l*} f_{1R}^l - f_{2L}^{l*} f_{2R}^l) - \frac{g_Y}{2v(z)z g_5^2} \partial_z \left(\frac{f^\pi}{z} \right) (f_{1L}^{l*} f_{2R}^l - f_{2L}^{l*} f_{1R}^l) \right] \quad (3.2)$$

where f^π is a mode function of pion originated from the 5th component of the axial vector gauge boson A_z .

On the other hand, the vector and axial-vector meson-nucleon couplings in holographic QCD are supplied in two ways. The first one originates from the covariant

derivative of the gauge interactions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{\text{gauge}} &= \int_0^{z_m} dz \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{i}{2} \bar{N}_1 e_A^M \Gamma^A (-i A_M^L) N_1 - \frac{i}{2} (i A_M^L \bar{N}_1) e_A^M \Gamma^A N_1 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{i}{2} \bar{N}_2 e_A^M \Gamma^A (-i A_M^R) N_2 - \frac{i}{2} (i A_M^R \bar{N}_2) e_A^M \Gamma^A N_2 \right] \\
&\supset \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{1}{z^4} \left[\bar{N}_1 \gamma^\mu V_\mu N_1 + \bar{N}_2 \gamma^\mu V_\mu N_2 + \bar{N}_1 \gamma^\mu A_\mu N_1 - \bar{N}_2 \gamma^\mu A_\mu N_2 \right] \\
&= \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{1}{z^4} f_n^V (|f_{1L}^l|^2 + |f_{1R}^l|^2) \left[\bar{N}_1^l \gamma^\mu V_\mu^n N_1^l + \bar{N}_2^l \gamma^\mu V_\mu^n N_2^l \right] \\
&\quad + \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{1}{z^4} f_n^A (|f_{1L}^l|^2 + |f_{1R}^l|^2) \left[\bar{N}_1^l \gamma^\mu A_\mu^n N_1^l - \bar{N}_2^l \gamma^\mu A_\mu^n N_2^l \right], \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

where the parity properties of the mode functions $f_{1L} = f_{2R}, f_{1R} = -f_{2L}$ are used [33, 35].

In addition, the vector and axial-vector meson-nucleon couplings are given by the Pauli term:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{\text{Pauli}} &= c \int_0^{z_m} dz \sqrt{-g} i \left[\bar{N}_1 \Gamma^{MN} F_{MN}^L N_1 - \bar{N}_2 \Gamma^{MN} F_{MN}^R N_2 \right] \\
&\supset c \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{i}{z^3} \left[\bar{N}_{1L} \Gamma^{\mu z} F_{\mu z}^V N_{1L} + \bar{N}_{1R} \Gamma^{\mu z} F_{\mu z}^V N_{1R} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \\
&\quad + c \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{i}{z^3} \left[\bar{N}_{1L} \Gamma^{\mu z} F_{\mu z}^A N_{1L} + \bar{N}_{1R} \Gamma^{\mu z} F_{\mu z}^A N_{1R} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \\
&\supset -c \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{1}{z^3} \left[\bar{N}_{1L} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 (\partial_\mu V_z - \partial_z V_\mu) N_{1L} + \bar{N}_{1R} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 (\partial_\mu V_z - \partial_z V_\mu) N_{1R} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \\
&\quad - c \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{1}{z^3} \left[\bar{N}_{1L} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 (\partial_\mu A_z - \partial_z A_\mu) N_{1L} + \bar{N}_{1R} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 (\partial_\mu A_z - \partial_z A_\mu) N_{1R} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where c is a constant which is determined by the anomalous magnetic dipole moments of the proton and neutron as discussed in [35]. In the second and third lines, we extract only the μz component relevant to the meson-nucleon coupling. In the last expression, the commutator in the field strength is dropped and the relation between 4D and 5D gamma matrices $\gamma^5 = -i\Gamma^z$ is used.

Thus, we obtain the vector and axial-vector meson-nucleon couplings as follows:

$$g_{v^n N^l N^l} \equiv \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{1}{z^4} \left[f_n^V + cz \partial_z f_n^V \right] \left[|f_{1L}^l|^2 + |f_{1R}^l|^2 \right], \quad (3.5)$$

$$g_{a^n N^l N^l} \equiv \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{1}{z^4} \left[f_n^A + cz \partial_z f_n^A \right] \left[|f_{1L}^l|^2 + |f_{1R}^l|^2 \right] \quad (3.6)$$

where n, l are the KK mode indices of (axial) vector meson and nucleons.

As a bonus, the derivative pion-nucleon coupling $\partial_\mu \pi N N$ is also included in the Pauli term,

$$g_{\partial\pi N^l N^l} \equiv -c \int_0^{z_m} dz \frac{1}{z^3} f^\pi \left[|f_{1L}^l|^2 + |f_{1R}^l|^2 \right]. \quad (3.7)$$

z_m^{-1} (GeV)	$g_{\rho NN}$	$g_{a_1 NN}$	$g_{\pi NN}$
0.205	0.08	0.42	-1320
0.33	-0.54	-4.09	-25.6
0.4	0.21	1.87	-14.9
0.5	0.24	3.04	-13.5
0.6	0.32	4.16	-13.2
0.7	0.41	4.65	-13.4
0.8	0.42	3.92	-13.9
0.9	0.23	1.45	-14.9
1.0	-0.33	-2.93	-16.4

Table 1: Table of numerical results for various meson-nucleon couplings.

z_m^{-1} (GeV)	g_Y	$g_{\rho NN}$	$g_{a_1 NN}$	$g_{\pi NN}$
0.6	26.5 $\sim$ 26.9	-4.3 $\sim$ -6.2	-8.2 $\sim$ -10.5	-20.1 $\sim$ -22.0
0.7	33.6 $\sim$ 34.0	-5.1 $\sim$ -6.2	-10.1 $\sim$ -11.4	-19.8 $\sim$ -20.7
0.8	38.6 $\sim$ 40.2	-4.2 $\sim$ -6.4	-10.0 $\sim$ -13.1	-18.8 $\sim$ -20.5
0.9	42.5 $\sim$ 44.1	-5.1 $\sim$ -6.5	-13.0 $\sim$ -15.1	-19.8 $\sim$ -20.9
1.0	39 $\sim$ 43.8	-4.2 $\sim$ -6.5	-13.7 $\sim$ -17.6	-19.9 $\sim$ -21.7

Table 2: Yukawa coupling dependence for various meson-nucleon couplings with fixed z_m^{-1} .

This coupling constant is related to $g_{\pi NN}$ through the Goldberger-Treiman relation $g_{\partial\pi NN} = m_\pi/(2m_N)g_{\pi NN}$, and the consistency can be checked.

We summarize the numerical results for the above couplings in Tables 1 and 2. As an illustration, we have calculated the rho meson-nucleon coupling, the a_1 meson-nucleon coupling and the pion-nucleon coupling (which has already been calculated in [33]). In this calculation, we regard the infrared cutoff scale z_m^{-1} and Yukawa coupling constant for nucleon g_Y as free parameters. We have chosen various input parameters (bare quark mass, chiral condensate and 5 dimensional gauge coupling) as

$$m_q = 2.34 \text{ MeV}, \quad \sigma^{1/3} = 311 \text{ MeV}, \quad g_5 = 2\pi. \quad (3.8)$$

These values reproduce the pion mass $m_\pi = 140 \text{ MeV}$ [16].

In Table 1, we have fixed Yukawa coupling $g_Y = 9.182$ as taken in [35] and calculated couplings $g_{\rho NN}$, $g_{a_1 NN}$ and $g_{\pi NN}$ for various IR cutoff scales z_m^{-1} . One can see that if the IR scale z_m^{-1} is around 0.7, the observed value of the pion-nucleon coupling $g_{\pi NN} = 13.6$ is well reproduced. The rho meson-nucleon coupling is however at most 10 percent of experimental value $g_{\rho NN} = 4.2 \sim 6.5$.

In Table 2, we have tried to improve the results in Table 1 by fixing the IR scale z_m^{-1} , but changing Yukawa coupling g_Y . We have found a parameter region of the Yukawa coupling g_Y where the experimental value of rho meson-nucleon coupling is

reproduced.[¶] In this region of parameter space, the pion-nucleon coupling does not deviate from the experimental value so much. On the other hand, the a_1 axial vector meson-nucleon coupling is significantly changed compared to the pion-nucleon coupling.

Here is a comment on comparison of our results with those analyzed by using skyrmions as baryons [34]. We found that the both results qualitatively agree, namely the coupling between the rho meson and nucleons $g_{\rho NN}$ reproduces very well, but the pion-nucleon coupling $g_{\pi NN}$ deviates around 50% from the experimental data. As for the coupling between the axial-vector meson and nucleons $g_{a_1 NN}$, we cannot say anything about it since we have no experimental data to compare.

Although our obtained results are relatively good as a first step, we certainly need to improve the results. For that aim, we might have to take into account other background geometry, quantum gravity and stringy corrections, and a possible anomalous dimension to the baryon operator and so on beyond our simplified approach.

4 Summary

In this paper, motivated by recent developments of gauge/string duality applied to hadron physics, we have considered the bottom-up approach called AdS/QCD. Unlike the top-down approach, one cannot precisely determine the bulk geometry as well as the coupling strength among the bulk fields. However symmetries in the system, which are originally global on the boundary and are lifted up to local in the bulk, can be fully utilized. This is a similar situation to chiral Lagrangian in QCD at low energies.

In the later part of this paper, we have discussed the conjectured scenario called “holographic unification” of all the mesons and baryons. As a concrete example, we have considered the meson-nucleon couplings and found some interesting results. One can further proceed with this idea to compute other physical quantities such as tensor couplings as well as other meson-nucleon couplings including scalar mesons and hyperons.

Note Added

After completing our paper, we noticed a paper [36] with some overlaps in the calculation of the meson-nucleon couplings.

[¶]For $0.2 \leq z_m^{-1} \leq 0.6$, we could not find a viable parameter region for Yukawa coupling where the observed rho meson-nucleon coupling is realized.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank H. U. Yee for useful comments and informations and T. Hatsuda for helpful comments. One of the authors (N.M.) was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, No.18204024.

References

- [1] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math **2**, 231 (1998).
- [2] O. Aharony, S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, Phys. Rept. **323**, 183 (2000).
- [3] S. Mandelstam, Nucl. Phys. **B213**, 149 (1983); L. Brink, O. Lindgren and B. E. W. Nilsson, Phys. Lett. **B123**, 323 (1983).
- [4] L. Susskind, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 6377 (1995); G. 'tHooft, hep-th/0003004.
- [5] As a good textbook, see K. Yagi, T. Hatsuda and Y. Miake, "Quark-gluon plasma", Cambridge Univ. Press (2005).
- [6] As a recent review, see M. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal and T. Schafer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1455 (2008).
- [7] J. Maldacena, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4859 (1998).
- [8] C. Csaki, H. Ooguri, Y. Oz and J. Terning, JHEP **9901**, 017 (1999).
- [9] A. Karch and E. Katz, JHEP **0206**, 043 (2002).
- [10] M. Kruczenski, D. Mateos, R. Myers and D. J. Winter, JHEP **0307**, 049 (2003); J. Babington, J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, Z. Guralnik and I. Kirsch, Phys. Rev. **D69**, 066007 (2004). For a recent review, J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, I. Kirsch and E. Threlfall, Eur. Phys.J. **A35**, 81 (2008).
- [11] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **113**, 843 (2005). *ibid* **114**, 1083 (2005).
- [12] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math **2**, 505 (1998)
- [13] H. Hata, T. Sakai, S. Sugimoto and S. Yamato, hep-th/0701280; K. Nawa, H. Suganuma and T. Kojo, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 086003 (2007); D. K. Hong, M. Rho, H. U. Yee and P. Yi, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 061901 (2007).

- [14] D. T. Son and M. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. **D69**, 065020 (2004).
- [15] For a recent review of hidden local symmetry, see M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rept. **381**, 1 (2003).
- [16] J. Erlich, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 261602 (2005).
- [17] L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. **B721**, 79 (2005).
- [18] S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B428**, 105 (1998); E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998).
- [19] M. Shifman, hep-ph/0507246.
- [20] K. Ghoroku, N. Maru, M. Tachibana and M. Yahiro, Phys. Lett. **B633**, 602 (2006); A. Karch, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 015005 (2006); J. P. Shock and F. Wu, JHEP **0608**, 023 (2006); J. P. Shock, F. Wu, Y. L. Wu and Z. Xie, JHEP **0703**, 064 (2007); T. Gherghetta, J. I. Kapusta and T. M. Kelly, 0902.1998 [hep-ph].
- [21] J. Hirn and V. Sanz, JHEP **0512**, 030 (2005); Nucl Phys. Proc. Suppl. **164**, 273 (2007); J. Hirn, N. Rius and V. Sanz, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 085005 (2006); J. P. Shock and F. Wu, JHEP **0608**, 023 (2006); H. R. Grigoryan and A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 115008 (2008); S. K. Domokos and J. A. Harvey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 141602 (2007); Y. Kim, J. P. Lee and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 114008 (2007); H. C. Kim and Y. Kim, JHEP **0810**, 011 (2008).
- [22] L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, JHEP **0601**, 157 (2006); K. Ghoroku, N. Maru, M. Tachibana and M. Yahiro in [20]; E. Katz and M. Schwartz, JHEP **0708**, 077 (2007); P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, F. Giannuzzi, F. Jugeau and S. Nicotri, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 055009 (2008); C. Wang, S. He, M. Huang, Q-S. Yan and Y. Yang, 0902.0864 [hep-ph].
- [23] E. Katz, A. Lewandowski and M. Schwartz, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 086004 (2006).
- [24] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, F. Jugeau and S. Nicotri, Phys. Lett. **B652**, 73 (2007).
- [25] D. K. Hong, T. Inami and H. U. Yee, Phys. Lett. **B646**, 165 (2007); A. Pomarol and A. Wulzer, JHEP **0803**, 051 (2008), Nucl. Phys. **B809**, 347 (2009); A. Cherman, T. D. Cohen and M. Nielsen, 0903.2662 [hep-ph]; A. Pomarol and A. Wulzer, 0904.2272 [hep-ph].
- [26] H. C. Kim and Y. Kim, JHEP **0901**, 034 (2009).

- [27] H. Boschi-Filho, N. R. F. Braga and H. L. Carrion, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 047901 (2006); A. Karch, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. Stephanov in [20]; O. Cata, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 106004 (2007); H. Forkel, M. Beyer and T. Frederico, JHEP **0707**, 077 (2007); T. Huang and Z. Fuo, Eur. Phys. J. **C56**, 75 (2008); M. Huang, Q. S. Yan and Y. Yang, 0710.0998 [hep-ph], Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **174**, 334 (2008); A. Vega and I. Schmidt, 0811.4638 [hep-ph]; S. S. Afonin, 0902.3959 [hep-ph], 0903.0322 [hep-ph].
- [28] O. Andreev, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 107901 (2006); O. Andreev and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 025023 (2006); C. D. White, Phys. Lett. **B652**, 79 (2007); W. Y. Wen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A23**, 4533 (2008); M. V. Carlucci, F. Giannuzzi, G. Nardulli, M. Pellicoro and S. Stramaglia, Eur. Phys. J. **C57**, 569 (2008).
- [29] K. Ghoroku and M. Yahiro, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 125010 (2006); O. Andreev and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. B **645**, 437 (2007); C. Herzog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 091601 (2007); E. Nakano, S. Teraguchi and W. Y. Wen, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 085016 (2007); R. G. Cai, J. P. Shock, JHEP **0708**, 095 (2007); K.-i. Kim, Y. Kim and S. H. Lee, 0806.3114 [hep-ph]; Y. Kim, C. H. Lee, H. U. Yee, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 085030 (2008); Y. Kim, S. J. Sin, K. H. Jo and H. K. Lee, JHEP **0811**, 040 (2008); Y. Kim and H. K. Lee, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 096011 (2008); H. C. Kim and Y. Kim, JHEP **0810**, 011 (2008); M. Fujita, K. Fukushima, T. Misumi and M. Murata, 0903.2316 [hep-ph]; U. Gursoy, E. Kiritsis, L. Mazzanti and F. Nitti, 0903.2859 [hep-ph]; U. Gursoy, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A23**, 3349 (2009).
- [30] H. R. Grigoryan and A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. **B650**, 421 (2007), Phys. Rev. **D76**, 095007 (2007); H. J. Kwee and R. F. Lebed, JHEP **0801**, 027 (2008), Phys. Rev. **D77**, 115007 (2008); H. R. Grigoryan and A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 115007 (2007); Z. Abidin and C. E. Carlson, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 095007 (2008); H. M. Choi and C. R. Ji, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 113004 (2008); H. J. Kwee and R. F. Lebed, 0807.4565 [hep-ph]; Z. Abidin and C. E. Carlson, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 071502 (2008); C. E. Carlson, 0809.4853 [hep-ph]; G. Panico and A. Wulzer, 0811.2211 [hep-ph]; Z. Abidin and C. E. Carlson, 0903.4818 [hep-ph].
- [31] T. Hambye, B. Hassanain, J. March-Russell and M. Schvellinger, Phys. Rev. **74**, 026003 (2006). *ibid* **D76**, 125017 (2007); A. N. Atmaja and K. Schalm, 0802.1460 [hep-th]; A. Cherman, T. Cohen and E. S. Werbos, 0804.1096 [hep-ph]; M. Schvellinger, 0806.0568 [hep-th].
- [32] N. Evans, J. P. Shock and T. Waterson, Phys. Lett. **B622**, 165 (2005); N. Evans and A. Tedder, Phys. Lett. **B642**, 546 (2006); N. Evans, A. Tedder and T. Waterson, JHEP **0701**, 058 (2007); C. Csaki and M. Reece, JHEP **0705**, 062 (2007);

G. Panico and A. Wulzer, JHEP **0705**, 060 (2007); T. Schafer, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 126010 (2008); E. Shuryak, 0711.0004 [hep-ph]; B. Batell and T. Gherghetta, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 026002 (2008); Y. Kim, P. Ko and X. H. Wu, JHEP **0806**, 094 (2008); T. Cohen, 0805.4813 [hep-ph]; J. Erlich, 0812.4976, 0812.5105 [hep-ph]; A. Krikun, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 126014 (2008); A. Gorsky and A. Krikun, 0902.1832 [hep-ph]; F. Jugeau, 0902.3864 [hep-ph].

[33] D. K. Hong, T. Inami and H. U. Yee in [25].

[34] K. Hashimoto, T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **120**, 1093 (2008).

[35] D. K. Hong, H. C. Kim, S. Siwach and H. U. Yee, JHEP **0711**, 036 (2007).

[36] H. C. Ahn, D. K. Hong, C. Park, and S. Siwach, 0904.3731 [hep-ph].